

#### The Digital Generation's Political Voices

WP6 ICT and Civic Participation
DigiGen - The impact of technological
transformations on the digital generation Horizon 2020 Grant Agreement number 870548

Lead partner: ULEIC

Participants: UPSPS, TLU, COFACE Greece: Dimitris Parsanoglou (co-l) Estonia: Katrin Tiidenberg (co-l) UK: Athina Karatzogianni (PI)

Report can be downloaded here:

https://www.digigen.eu/results/online-political-behaviour-and-ideological-production-by-young-people/

Athina Karatzogianni 22 June 2021



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 870548.

# The Digital Generation's Political Voices: Informing Policy



- netnographic research (online observation, content and 65 interviews in total)
- conducted between September 2020 and April 2021 in Estonia, Greece and the United Kingdom
- compares the reasons and the means by which youth engaged in online civic participation, focusing on online movements mobilising for racial, social and environmental justice.

### Research Questions



- Research Questions Set 1:
- Why do participants engage in civil participation on digital networks in the three countries?
- What are the similarities and differences by comparison (i.e., ideology, identity and community, framing socioeconomic and gender factors)?
- Research Questions Set 2:
- How do participants engage in civil participation on digital networks in the three countries?
- What are the similarities and differences by comparison (i.e., digital affordances, problems of surveillance, censorship, organisation, mobilisation online and offline behaviour)?



# Case studies: ICT and Civic Participation for Racial, Social, Environmental – Intersectional Justice



- Greece: Primary data youth activism mobilising for against gender-based violence, anti-police brutality
- Estonia: Primary data youth activism mobilising for LGBTQ+ and Black Lives Matter (BLM)
- UK: Primary data for BLM and environmental civic participation Extinction Rebellion (XR and XR Youth)

### WP6 Contribution



- digital citizenship (e.g. access, commerce, communication, literacy, etiquette, rights and responsibilities, health and wellbeing, security/safety, data justice, digital inequalities).
- digital media use by adolescents (and specific problems relating to adolescence).
- digital activism scholarship (with specific focus on youth participation in social movements, everyday activism, new participatory repertoires, and leadership emergence theories in social movements).
- youth political culture and digital activism/citizenship specific to each country and the identification of cross-cultural continuities and discontinuities that may emerge in comparison.



	WHY	HOW	COMPARISON
STONIA	Speaking out for the marginalised as a matter of responsibility and an only	Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, YouTube, Reddit, VKontakte and Tiktok	Estonia to Greece and UK
GBTQ	way forward to a better society.	Not preoccupied with	<b>Differences:</b> Speaking out for the
ELM EENERAL	Leading to other people becoming more informed and changing their minds.	questions of surveillance and took no extra steps to protect themselves	marginalised Less worried about issues of privacy and surveillance
	Linked to personal experience of discrimination that informs a person's capacity for empathy, as well as cultural discourses surrounding social justice	International (English speaking) accounts were much better for informational purposes than local Estonian ones, that were often accused of being ill informed, narrow minded, even racist and homophobic.	Similarities: Linked to personal experience of discrimination an injustice Some use of similar platforms
FREECE	Mistrust of political parties and organisations	Facebook, Instagram, YouTubeMessaging apps as well as video conferencing	Greece to Estonia and UK
NTI-POLICE TOLECE	Interest to do some things, not to change the world, but first to change our everyday life;	platforms  Reluctance, distrust and criticism towards platforms	Differences: More distrust to political parties and commercial platforms
INTI-GENDER TOLENCE	Activation and politicisation are triggered by personal experiences linked to the	and apps – preference for open source  A means of	ICT less a space for organisation and strategy
GENERAL	ways (multiple) gender identities are treated in a specific social context, but also in society at large	(counter)information diffusion and less as a meaningful space where political strategies can be deployed.	Similarities: Politicisation are triggered by personal experiences Some use of similar platforms
K	Adopting new more affective approach to environmental activism	Innovation in organisation and communication in XR (holacracy model, carbon	UK to Greece and Estonia
R local national lobal	Anger about police brutality and fight for equal rights	neutral cloud, glassfrog, basecamp, mattermost)	<b>Differences:</b> In XR there is organisational and communication
LM Leicester	Inequality: people relying on handouts to feed their	BLM Leicester: pre-existing networks supporting very social media savvy young	innovation In BLM there is reliance on
EENERAL	children in a rich country	people  Adolescents don't use Facebook but use Twitter and Instagram a lot for their political participation.	pre-existing networks There is mentoring for the younger activists  Similarities: With Estonia: Anger about inequality, racial, social injustice. With Greece: Distrust of police and government

D

 ${f G}$ 

G

G





- Estonian participants are active for equal rights for the BLM movement and against discrimination for the LGBTQ, but they are less worried about issues of privacy and surveillance in comparison to participants in Greece and the UK. Perhaps this is because the media and political system are far more polarised due to EU related crisis of recent years.
- Greek participants have far more distrust to political parties and commercial platforms than the Estonians and see ICTs less a space for organisation and strategy than the UK participants.
- UK participants differ in that there is organisational, communication innovation and more widespread mentoring for the younger activists.
- The three countries exhibit similarities however. Politicisation is triggered by personal life experiences and specific events especially anger surrounding inequality, racial, social and environmental injustice.
- There is also some use of similar platforms, but the ways these are used varies in the three countries (i.e., young people use less Facebook in Estonia and UK, but still do use it for publicization UK to reach parents or older people, Estonians and UK participants use more Instagram and Tik Tok than Greek participants, and all follow debates on Twitter in particular.



## Policy provocations



- Common in the 3 countries anger surrounding inequality, racial, social and environmental injustice.
- Better digital governance, then more trust and safety in digital citizenship (Estonia)
- More unstable government and exceptional events (Greek crisis, Brexit) less trust in politics and more polarisation
- Less digital development, less digital networks seen as space for coordination, organisation and protest, more emphasis on the physical participation (Greece).
- More digital development, more innovation (UK), more jumpscaling of youth into global concerns (Estonia BLM).

























This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme

DigiGen